March 8, 1978
Meeting \# 4

## M I N U T E S

The Faculty Senate net Wednesday, March 8, 1978 in the Senate Room of the University Center with CLarence A. Bell, President, presiding. Present were: Brittin, Burford, Collins, Davis, Eissinger, Elbow, Hunter, Keho, Kimmel, McGqwan, Nelson, Pearson, Sasser, Smith, Strauss, Tereshkovich, Vines and Wilson. Wade was absent because of other business. Also present were the recently elected senators: Dale, Mehaffie, Cummins, Allen, Sanders, McDonald, Reichert, Smith, Wagner, Walkup, Chamberlain, McPherson, Christian, Dixon, Sowell, Adamcik, Alexander, Aycock, George, Gillas, Jebsen, Kellogg, McG1ynn, McLaugh1in, Michae1, Mogan, Morris, Newcomb, Oberhelman, Owens, Rylanper, Troub, Williams and Wright. Guests included Chanles Hardwick, Vice President for Academic Affairs; Richard Klocko, Director of Personnel Relations; Kim Hovden, Uliversity Daily; David Draper, Chairperson of the Eledtion Committee; and Yolanda Casarez, assisting Draper.

Be11 convened the meeting at $3: 30 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$. and recognized the guests.

## I. MINUTES OF THE FEBRUARY 8, 1978 MEETING

Vines moved approvaf of the minutes as distributed. Davis seconded. The motion was approved by a voice vote.
II. ANNOUNCEMENTS

1. Academic Council Minutes - from Meeting No. 8, February 14,1978:
............The council agreed to a brief statement for the Undergraduate Catalqg in regard to the grade appeals policy..........

There was a lengthy discussion of budgeting for 1978-79. A number $\phi$ f issues and constraints were considered, including the need to develop faculty salary budgets within the appropriated amount for 1978-79. Another idea discyssed was that of improving the relative position of faculty salary at Texas Tech with other major institutions in the state.

The question of whether it would be preferable to increase salaries with a concomitant increase in teaching loads or whether to attempt to maintafn existing numbers of faculty with no improvement in relative salary status was discussed. There were questions reqarding process including methods of obtaiqing input from faculty and chairpersons. Deans were asked to meet with chairpers申ns in the very near future to obtain widespread input.

Information was distributed from the Academic Affairs Office regarding various aspects of faculfy load and salaries. It was pointed out that while the data were incomplete, it is the first attempt of developing an automated academic information system to prpvide various productivity indices. Deans were asked for suggestions for imprpvement of data.

Merit increase for faculty were discussed at some length. Dr. Harфwick indicated that as much epidence and justification as can be made available should be used in the selection in the merit process. He indicated that it is desirqble to use peer and student evaluation within the merit increase consideration but that it is not obligatory for this year if the process has not already been iqitiated.

It was indicated that the fange for merit increases would be from $2 \%$ to $8 \%$
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of the nine month base sqlary. Not every faculty member can receive an increase and the maximum average $b y$ department or college cannot be established until in is determined how many FTE qre to be utilized for the coming year.

Deans were ask\& to discuss the various budgetary options for faculfy salaries with the chairpersons and to meet again on Friday, February 17.

A positive note in relation to faculty salaries is the fact that in 1978-79 the state will pay a portion of the social security costs which have previously been borne by individual empldyees. This amount is expected to be $5.85 \%$ of the first $\$ 16,500.00$ if an employed receives salary in that amount. It is likely that this increase will be taxable as any other income...........

Erom meeting No. 9, February 28, 1978:
........... The development of the 1978-79 budgets for faculty salaries, depantmental operating expense and intructional administration was discussed at some length. Materials related to budqet developmentwere distributed from the Academic Affairs Office.

The development of the faculty salary items for 1978-79 include cafculation of a $3.4 \%$ increase amount reduced for the coming y ea for additional salary inkreases within the $2-8 \%$ range.

There was a queption regarding local income from enrollments, toward the need for determining how much the financial picture of the University is affected by the under realization of loca1 income through tuition and other fees.

There was an aditional suggestion that the computer center be funded to the extent that equipmen rental and major contracted salaries be provided and that direct charges be made t $\phi$ user departments to recover other necessary funds. This would require redistribution of funds to departmental operating expense and payment for services rendered.

The instruction 1 administration and departmental operating amounts allocated essentially contained on $y$ those additional requirements for increased salaries. With continuing inflation thi will likely mean some reduction in purchased goods and services for 1978-79 in compariso

## 2. E1ections Committee

On February 20, 197\%, John D. Reichert, Chairperson of the Elections Comnittee, resigned from his position on the committee. David Draper, another member of the committee, was named Chaprperson and Margarette Harden was appointed to fill the vacant position.
3. Following the Faculfy Senate action at the February meeting, Clarence Bell wrote a letter to President Mackey urging him to place highest priority on th matter of improving the heating, ventilating, and air conditioning of university buildings, and enclosed specific recommendations problem as well as a spe
problem as well as a spepific recommendation for a Chairperson for the commituee.
A copy of this letter wap sent to Mr. Kenneth Thompson, Vice President for Addinistration. he resolution passed by the Senate. In the letter were for establishment of a suitable committee to study the
ific recommendation for a Chairperson for the commityee.

## Announcements -- continued

Two days prior to the transmittal of this letter, and without Bell's knowledge, Mr . Thompson named a compittee for this same purpose. The committee is chaired by Jerry Ramsey and the mempers are Duane Jordan, Don Gustafson, Fredric Wehmeyer and M. S. Buckberry.

Upon learning of the existence of this committee, Bell talked with Mr. Thompson. He stated thaE of strong faculty concerp knowledge that Bell was about this problen and acted to meet the problen wifhout He assured Bell that the Senate would be kept informed of all official actions and recommendations of the cpmmittee.

Be11 believes that the will of the Senate has been perceived and und\&rstood by the administration and that the establishment of Mr. Thompson's committee is a proper initiation of activities toward an alleviation and possible solution of the problem.
4. On February 24, 1973, in Austin, Texas, as your Senate President, Clarenge Bell attended a meeting of Presidents or Chairpersons of Faculty Senates or Councils at state universities in Texas. Representatives of 28 universities were invi\&ed and 20 attended. This meeting was the first such meeting to be held, to the best knowledge of the participants. Many matters of mutual interest were discussed and it was decided to hold apother meeting of Presidents or Chairpersons next year.
III. ELECTION RESULTS AND DETERMINATION OF LENGTHS OF TERMS - Clarence Bell

Faculty Senate elecfions have been completed and no run-off elections wede necessary. Three hundre and ninety-six ballots were received, which is about $51 \%$ of the voting faculty. Bell read the names of the newly elected Senators and asked each to stand as his/her name was read by way of introduction. He introduced the continuing members in the same manner. The lengths of the terms of office of the newly elected Senators were determined by lot by David Draper, Election Committee Chairperson, with the hep of Yolanda Casarez. The terms of office of the at-large senators and the senator from the School of Law were determined earlier. (Sef enclosed list of Faculty Senate members.)
IV. REQUEST BY PRESIDEN: MACKEY FOR A GENERAL FACULTY MEETING - C1arence Belf

A letter from Presilent Mackey requesting a meeting of the faculty has b\&en received and arrangement have been made for such a meeting on THURSDAY, APRII 27, 1978, at 3:30 p.m. in the UNIVERSITY CENTER THEATRE.

## V. REPORT OF THE TENURE REVIEW COMMITTEE - Jacquelin Collins

The committee is alnost finished to the point that a document will be di\$tributed to the Senate, the Presifent of the University, and the Tenure and Privilege ¢ommittee soon. All three of the fbove named groups will have the right to express opinions, and make recommendations; after which the Tenure Review Committee will receiv and consider all comments before making final recommendations to the President. If he approves the document at this stage, it must then come back to the faculty fof formal
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and final approval. The President can then send the document to the Board of Regents for their action. Collins does not anticipate that this matter will be preseqted to the faculty this semestep.
VI. FACULTY MERIT RAISES - Charles S. Hardwick

Hardwick felt there is an urgent need to get Tech salary averages at par with other institutions in the state. He anticipates that a pool of $3.4 \%$ of the nine mopth base salary will be distributed to each college for use next year in awarding merif increases to the returning faculty. Deans may use their discretion about which returning faculty members within their departments should receive merit salan increases ranging from 2 to $8 \%$. In response to a question, Hardwick replied that deans have not been instructed to limit the number of merit raises. It will have to be decided whether to give a large number of faculty small raises or to be highly selective and give a small number of faculty larger raises and certainly not every faculty member can expect a salary increase other than the across-theboard increase to the entire faculty effective at such time that the state betins paying the social security tax. In response to another question, Hardwick copfirmed that there is no "cost of living" raise budgeted. Another question concerned whether deans and chairpersons had been instructed to obtain faculty input concerning the various options available regarding the distribution of merit increases. Hafdwick agreed that they were so instructed. Members of the Faculty Senate were polled as to whether their departments had provided for "satisfactory" faculty input into the questions involved in merit increases for next year. Approximately $40 \%$ indifated in the affirmative. Hardwick continued by explaining that factors determining the figure of $3.4 \%$ available for increases included the repayment of a $\$ 218,000.00$ loan made last year to supplement the amount appropriated for faculty salary increases, the upgrading of teaching positions, new teaching positions in growing areas, and a large ratio of FTE faquity.

## VII. REPORT OF COMMITTEE ON COMMITTEES - Darrell Vines

Vines distributed dopies of the charge of the Academic Budget Council, which the Committee on Committees proposed to replace the Faculty Senate Committee, the Budget Advisory Committee. The primary difference in the Budget Advisory Compittee and the Academic Budget Council is the method of selection of members. To be consistent with the rest of the University Committees/Councils, the President will make pppointments from a slate nominated by the Senate. Vines moved, on behalf of the Conmittee on Committees, that the charge of the Academic Budget Council be approved as distributed. Hunter seconded. The mftion carried by a voice vote.

## VIII. CONSIDERATION OF FACULTY RETIREMENT POLICIES - Gary Elbow

Elbow felt that inequities or the potential for inequities may exist in the deferment of retirement of professors past the usual retirement age. His opifion was based partly on conyersations with Orlo Childs, Chairperson of the Benef $\ddagger$ ts and Retirement Committe, who expressed the feeling that it would be appropr|ate for the Senate to create an ad hoc committee to consider the entire matter of retirement policies at fexas Tech. Elbow stressed that Child's opinions were his personal opinions and not necessarily those of the Benefits and Retirement Committee. Elbow moved that the Faculty Senate establish such an ad hoc commftee.
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Sasser seconded.

Through general discussion of the matter it became apparent that the Facq1ty Senate felt that the Benefits and Retirement Committee should consider this matter, if it so chose. Elbow's motion was defeated.

Newcomb moved that the matter be referred to the Benefits and Retirement Committee for consideration along with the request that the Benefits and Retirement Committee repprt on the matter to the Faculty Senate at the April 12, 1978, meeting. Jebsen secondef. Newcomb's motion carried.
IX. OTHER BUSINESS

Jacquelin Collins reported on his activities as a member of the Coordinating Board's Advisory Committ ee on Faculty Workloads and Small Classes.

The comittee was a its compliance with leg governing boards and the He reported that the comp and that after public he written during the summe contain a recommendation various institutions be to write their own regul and standards would have and semester reports on governing boards and be were in compliance with committee was recommendi equivalencies $b \in$ abandon substituted. Regarding the Coordinating Board a flexibility be restored organized classes be remфved.

The meeting adjourn\&d at 5:25 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

pointed by the Coordinating Board to advise it regarding abution requiving the reporting of faculty assignments to limiting or forbidding the teaching of small classes. ittee was on the point of approving a preliminary report rings, probably in April, there would be a final report and fall. The substance of the preliminary report would that the guidelines of the Coordinating Board to the eneral, authorizing the separate colleges and universities tions and standards of faculty workloads. These regulations to be reviewed and approved by the Coordinating Board, aculty assignments and workloads would be made to onitored by the Coordinating Board to see that they he institutions' own established regulations. The advisory $g$ that the semester-hour standard with its numerous $d$ and that the idea of faculty working a forty hour week be mall classes, the advisory committee was recommending that proach the next legislature to see that some degree of nd to see that the prohibition of formula funding of small

## FACULTY SENATE

Joe Adamcik（Chemistry） 1980
Theodor Alexander（German／S1avic Lang．） 1979
B．L．Allen（Plant \＆Soil Sofiences） 1980
Wende11 Aycock（Eng1ish） 1980
Clarence A．Bell（Mechanical Engr．） 1978
Helen Brittin（Food \＆Nutrition） 1980
Charles Burford（Industrial Engr．） 1978
Valerie Chamberlain（Home E¢onomics Ed．） 1979
Duane Christian（Education）
Jacquelin Collins（History）
David Cummins（Law） 1979
Dale W．Davis（English） 1979
＊＊Charles Dale（Business Law） 1981
＊Paul Dixon（Education） 1981
James R．Eissinger（Law） 1980
Gary Elbow（Geography） 1980
Edward George（Classical／Ronance Lang．） 1980
John Gillas（Music） 1980
Leon Higdon（English） 1981
John R．Hunter（Range \＆Wildlife Mgmt．） 1980
Harry Jebsen（History） 1979
Cliff Keho（Civil Engr．）19，9
Charles Kellogg（Mathematic $\$$ ） 1981
Panze Kimmel（Education） $19 \nmid 8$
Hong Y．Lee（Ag．Economics） 1981
＊＊Louise Luchsinger（Marketin\＄） 1981
Vincent Luchsinger（Management） 1981
James R．McDonald（Civil En\＄r．） 1981
Richard McGlynn（Psychology） 1980
Richard McGowan（Music） 198 p
Thomas McLaughlin（Mathematics） 1980
Clara McPherson（Food \＆NutFition） 1981
Max Manley（Education） 1978
Herschel Mann（Business Administration）
＊＊Shamus Mehaffie（Education） 1981
Marion Michael（English） 1979
Joe Mogan（Eng1ish） 1979
Robert Morris（Classical／Romance fang．）1981
Otto Ne1son（History） 1979
Ben Newcomb（History） 1979
Harley Oberhelman（Classical／Romatce Lang．） 1980
Mary Owens（Physical Education） 1979
Neale Pearson（Political Science） 1980
John D．Reichert（Electrical Engr．） 1979
Michael K．Rylander（Biological Sdiences） 1980
Darryl Sanders（Entomology） 1981
Elizabeth Sasser（Architecture） 1 月80
Henry Shine（Chemistry） 1981
Milton Smith（Industrial Engr．）1月81
Roland Smith（Political Science） 1978
Virginia Sowell（Education） 1980
＊Michae1 Stoune（Music） 1981
Monty Strauss（Mathematics） 1978
George Tereshkovich（Plant \＆Soil Science）1979
Roger Troub（Economics） 1981
Darrell Vines（Electrical Engr．） 1979
Charles Wade（Business Administration） 1979
Fred Wagner（Engr．Technology） 1980
John F．Walkup（Electrical Engr．） 1980
Peggy Williams（Physical Education） 1981
Margaret Wilson（Physical Educati申n） 1979
Ruth Wright（Political Science）1 179
＊＊Recently elected At－Large Senators whose terms of office will begin on May 14， 1978. ＊College representatives whose terms of office will begin on May 14，1978．

